

THE EXPERTS VIRTUAL ROUNDTABLE

"一带一路"税收征管和纳税服务产品体系 专家圆桌研讨活动

26 JUNE 2025 | 15:00-17:00 BEIJING TIME, UTC+8 2025年6月26日 15:00-17:00 (北京时间)



THE EXPERTS VIRTUAL ROUNDTABLE

"一带一路"税收征管和纳税服务产品体系专家圆桌研讨活动

26 JUNE 2025 | 15:00-17:00 BEIJING TIME, UTC+8 2025年6月26日 15:00-17:00(北京时间)



Tax Certainty: What Works in Practice? What does not?

Evidence from a Taxpayer Survey



BUILDING A GROWTH-FRIENDLY TAX ENVIRONMENT

INVESTMENT

Extensive empirical evidence on how uncertainty has the potential to reduce investment and hence, growth

UNCERTAINTY

LARGE PROJECTS

Key for lager, long-term projects but also for smaller projects with high tax complexity and materiality

EFFECTIVENESS OF TAX POLICY

Recent empirical evidence: tax policy and government stimulus is less effective if high uncertainty (Guceri, Albinowski, 2021 (JFE)). For the same tax incentive:

• Firms in low volatility periods see an average 8.3% increase in investment. In high volatility periods, investment rise averages at 6.4%.

Clearances/Advanced Tax Rulings (ATRs)

In theory, clearances/ATRs
considered helpful in reducing
uncertainty and hence,
increasing investment and more
general economic activity in a
jurisdiction

What goes wrong in practice?

Tax Administration's intertemporal inconsistency:

- After initial ATR, agreement was challenged (under "material changes") by tax authorities after some years with very aggressive audits.
- Major issue for the long- to medium-term credibility of ATRs: A small number of high-profile cases has affected perception of a large community of investors
- Currently difficult to convince investors/taxpayers that a new programme of ATRs will ensure certainty



Intertemporal Inconsistency

Risk of intertemporal inconsistency increases if:

- 1. Tax authority teams have **revenue targets** (even if not directly linked to salary/bonus)
 - [Taxpayer's centricity?]
- 2. Focus of tax authorities less on the law, more on interpretation & starting point is often avoidance/arbitrage
 - [Taxpayer's centricity? Harmonious tax environment?]
- 3. The combination of 1. & 2. is fatal!
- 4. No clear **legal basis** for the ATR (legally binding? If so, on which items?). Tax authorities bound (unless material changes).
 - [Basic Services: Institutional Guarantees for Taxpayers' Rights?
 - Service Philosophy: Legal Safeguard?]



What works well?

What works well in practice when giving certainty to the taxpayer?

- 1. Non-statutory clearances that are broad and can cover an entire project, beyond narrow aspect of corporate income tax. Clearances could include:
 - Taxation of financing arrangements, treatment of intangibles
 - R&D tax Credits
 - VAT, Environmental taxes
 - Pillar Two
 - [Personalised Taxpayer Services]
- 2. Quick to reach an agreement + automatic renewal (unless material changes)
- 3. Clear definition of "material changes"
- 4. Binding nature for tax authorities
- Flexibility some businesses go through breakneck changes which have nothing to do with tax, especially if new and innovative.
 - How do you make an old APA still work in this context?
 - [Service Philosophy; Personalised Taxpayer Services]





SOME EFFECTIVE EXAMPLES AROUND THE WORLD

1

Trust between tax authorities and taxpayer (ongoing for many years)

Focus on growth and investment for both MoF and tax authorities, not systematically on avoidance and planning

2

3

Enquiries are principled, proportionate. Focus on law.



